

Original problem in HW1 is a stable iteration

```
> restart;  
> ser:=convert(series( (sqrt(1+(t+dt)^2)-1)/(t+dt), dt, 2),  
polynom);
```

$$ser := \frac{\sqrt{t^2+1}-1}{t} + \frac{\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2+1}} - \frac{\sqrt{t^2+1}-1}{t}\right) dt}{t} \quad (1)$$

```
> x:=eval(ser,dt=0);
```

$$x := \frac{\sqrt{t^2+1}-1}{t} \quad (2)$$

```
> dx:=simplify(ser-x);
```

$$dx := \frac{(\sqrt{t^2+1}-1) dt}{\sqrt{t^2+1} t^2} \quad (3)$$

```
> dt:=t*rel_t;
```

$$dt := t \text{ rel_t} \quad (4)$$

```
> rel_x:=dx/x;
```

$$rel_x := \frac{rel_t}{\sqrt{t^2+1}} \quad (5)$$

We can cause a problem with error propagation (is it serious or not?) by changing slightly the iteration:

```
> restart;  
> ser:=convert(series( (1-sqrt(1-(t+dt)^2))/(t+dt), dt, 2),  
polynom);
```

$$ser := \frac{1-\sqrt{-t^2+1}}{t} + \frac{\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{-t^2+1}} + \frac{-1+\sqrt{-t^2+1}}{t}\right) dt}{t} \quad (6)$$

```
> x:=eval(ser,dt=0):
```

```
> dx:=simplify(ser-x):
```

```
> dt:=t*rel_t:
```

```
> rel_x:=simplify(dx/x);
```

$$rel_x := \frac{rel_t}{\sqrt{-t^2+1}} \quad (7)$$

Original iteration with roundoff problem and 100 digits

```
> restart:
```

```
> N_iter:=25:
```

```
> x:=Vector(N_iter,0):
```

```
> Digits:=100:
```

```
> t[0]:=1/sqrt(3.0);
```

```
t_0 :=
```

```
0.577350269189625764509148780501957455647601751270126876018602326483977672\  
3029333456937153955857495251
```

```
> for i from 1 to N_iter do; t[i]:=(sqrt(1+t[i-1]^2)-1)/t[i-1]; x
```

(8)

```

[ ] := 6 * 2 ^ i * t [ i ] : od :
> err := ( x [ N _ iter ] - Pi ) / Pi : evalhf ( err );
8.11663855557865576 10 -17

```

(9)

```

> evalhf ( x [ N _ iter - 9 .. N _ iter ] ) ;
[
  3.14159265365663787
  3.14159265360650419
  3.14159265359397111
  3.14159265359083761
  3.14159265359005424
  3.14159265358985840
  3.14159265358980955
  3.14159265358979711
  3.14159265358979445
  3.14159265358979356
]

```

(10)

Original iteration with roundoff problem and 16 digits

```

> restart :
> N _ iter := 20 :
> x := Vector ( N _ iter , 0 ) :
> Digits := 16 :
> t [ 0 ] := 1 / sqrt ( 3 . 0 ) ;
t0 := 0.5773502691896259

```

(11)

```

> for i from 1 to N _ iter do ; t [ i ] := ( sqrt ( 1 + t [ i - 1 ] ^ 2 ) - 1 ) / t [ i - 1 ] : x
[ i ] := 6 * 2 ^ i * t [ i ] : od :
> err := ( x [ N _ iter ] - Pi ) / Pi : evalhf ( err );
-0.00110083164127062799

```

(12)

```

> evalhf ( x [ N _ iter - 9 .. N _ iter ] ) ;
[
  3.14159270977275407
  3.14159263799051303
  3.14159270977275407
  3.14159263799051303
  3.14158809570712094
  3.14158033379770218
  3.14151427036785202
  3.14128502623068417
  3.14072672605339598
  3.13813428899273816
]

```

(13)

Fixed iteration with 16 digits

```

> restart :
> N _ iter := 25 :

```

```

> x:=Vector(N_iter,0):
> Digits:=16:
> t[0]:=1/sqrt(3.0);

```

$$t_0 := 0.5773502691896259 \quad (14)$$

```

> for i from 1 to N_iter do; t[i]:=t[i-1]/(sqrt(1+t[i-1]^2)+1): x
[i]:=6*2^i*t[i]: od:
> err:=(x[N_iter]-Pi)/Pi: evalhf(err);

```

$$2.22816920328653517 \cdot 10^{-15} \quad (15)$$

```

> evalhf(x[N_iter-9..N_iter]);

```

3.14159265365664186
3.14159265360650819
3.14159265359397422
3.14159265359084205
3.14159265359006001
3.14159265358986506
3.14159265358981621
3.14159265358980422
3.14159265358980022
3.14159265358980022

$$(16)$$

New iteration with stability problem and 100 digits

```

> restart:
> N_iter:=25:
> x:=Vector(N_iter,0):
> Digits:=100:
> t[0]:=0.99999;

```

$$t_0 := 0.99999 \quad (17)$$

```

> t0:=t[0]:
> for i from 1 to N_iter do; t[i]:=t[i-1]/(1+sqrt(1-t[i-1]^2)): x
[i]:=2^i*t[i]: od:
> x_true:=convert(x,list): t_true:=[seq(t[i],i=1..N_iter)]:
> evalhf(x[N_iter-9..N_iter]);

```

(18)

```

6.10303380511649607
6.10303381834825132
6.10303382165619013
6.10303382248317483
6.10303382268992145
6.10303382274160811
6.10303382275452933
6.10303382275775963
6.10303382275856787
6.10303382275876949

```

(18)

This iteration also converges to some number:

```

> x_true[N_iter];
6.1030338227587695437298449344324037578095844495070996800291311236222467560091\
67587266700683136438631

```

(19)

Although this iteration has some initial growth of the errors, it is not catastrophic, but we do lose almost 4 digits.

New iteration with stability problem and 16 digits

```

> x:=Vector(N_iter,0);
> Digits:=16:
> dt:=1e-12: # Perturb the initial iteration by a little
> t[0]:=t0+dt;

```

$t_0 := 0.9999900000001$ (20)

```

> for i from 1 to N_iter do; t[i]:=t[i-1]/(1+sqrt(1-t[i-1]^2)): x
[i]:=2^i*t[i]: od:
> rel_err:=(x[N_iter]-x_true[N_iter])/x_true[N_iter];

```

$rel_err := 8.192780746772595 \cdot 10^{-9}$ (21)

```

> rel_err_1:=(x[1]-x_true[1])/x_true[1];

```

$rel_err_1 := 2.236117937025200 \cdot 10^{-10}$ (22)

```

> Digits:=12: # Just to print shorter numbers (there are better
ways)
> seq((x[i]-x_true[i])/x_true[i], i=1..10);
2.20986077311 10-10, 2.37167156803 10-9, 5.70577561816 10-9, 7.44936825550 10-9,
7.99821230998 10-9, 8.14350779667 10-9, 8.17917685155 10-9, 8.18928221879 10-9,
8.19139612489 10-9, 8.19274371995 10-9

```

(23)

```

> rel_err_1/dt;

```

223.611793703 (24)

```

> evalf(1/sqrt(1-t0^2));

```

223.607356769 (25)

How many digits did we perturb the answer by:

```

> rel_err/dt;

```

8192.78074677 (26)

```
[ > mul(1/sqrt(1-t[i]^2), i=0..N_iter);  
8192.60650364
```

(27)